

The following evaluation rubric was created to better ensure a measure of objectivity when evaluating sabbatical applications. Please complete the rubric to help you assess each sabbatical application; the committee will share rubric scores at our sabbatical review meeting.

1 – strongly disagree	e 2 – disagree	3 – neutral	4 – agree	5 – stro	ngly	agree			
Minimum Requirements									
 Applicant has served at the institution at least 6 years Applicant has not been awarded a sabbatical within the last 6 years Applicant submitted a complete application If "No" to any of items stop here - Continue only if minimum qualification 					Y Y Y atio	N N N ns are I	met		
Applicant effectively indicated preparatory work demonstrating					1	2	3	4	5
 readiness to carry out the goals. Applicant effectively demonstrated that the proposal will involve activity at least equivalent to their full-time work load. 					1	2	3	4	5
Benefit to Applic	ant								
 Applicant effectively indicated how the activity will enhance them as a leader in their department, as a professional, or as a scholar. e.g., to teaching or student learning; to programs, courses, or curriculum development; to retraining; or to an institution- specific project (e.g., one that relates to a strategic planning objective) 					1	2	3	4	5
Benefit to College	e								
 Applicant effectively communicated or described the specific impact the proposed project will have on carrying out their professional responsibilities or enhance the ability of College members to better meet the College's Goals and/or Objectives. 					1	2	3	4	5
The pro	posed project alig	ns to the Colleg	e's Strategic P	lan	1	2	3	4	5
Time Sensitivity									
	nt provided suffici completed in the ti		tablish that the	e project	1	2	3	4	5
 Applicant clearly defines timeline with milestones indicated to ensure project can be completed within the given time frame TOTAL 					1	2	3	4	5